A Midstream Cogged V-Belt Pilot Program: Concept and Early Challenges





Images from "Browning Belt Drive Thermal Image Video" www.youtube.com/watch?v=FSMfCZQZBXs

2015 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Industry





Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance

- MEEA is a collaborative network whose purpose is to advance energy efficiency to support sustainable economic development and environmental preservation
- Founded in 2000 to bring strategic partners together to improve market conditions for energy efficiency





Authors/Partners





Todd Levin

Cathy Milostan

Mark Milby Will Baker



John Seryak Abdul Qayyum Mohammed Stephenie Ritchey



Partner Relationship

- AEP Ohio Utility Partner
- Dayton Power & Light Utility Partner
- MEEA General Coordination
- Argonne General Coordination
- Go Sustainable Energy Concept Origination, Expertise, Distributor Recruitment



Concept Origination

- Working with the Ohio Manufacturers' Association
 - Protect and grow Ohio manufacturing; 1,300+ manufacturing facilities
- Why energy-efficiency?
 - Energy-efficiency helps manufacturers manage costs, reduces cost of energy for everyone, creates demand for manufactured goods
 - <u>Direct energy savings</u>: do utility program offerings match technical opportunities?
 - <u>Universal energy savings</u>: are utility programs incenting new energy-efficiency?
 - <u>Cost of programs</u>: Ease of participation & lower admin costs
 - − → Cogged V-Belts in Top 10 recommended measures from Industrial Assessment Centers







Cogged V-Belt - Refresher

- V-belts lose power from
 - Bending losses, friction, slip, stretching
- 2-3% more efficient
 - For 100-hp motor, cogged belt savings ~
 2 homes
- High implementation rate

unique cog design permits flexibility that enables the belt to bend more easily around the pulley. It runs cooler. And less heat means longer belt life. uses less power, too.





Long-Term Collaborative Goals

- Launch and successfully manage a pilot which tests a novel incentive program design
- Accurately quantify energy savings
- Learn best practices in collaborative pilot development
- Create a best practices toolkit to assist Midwestern utilities in replication



Pilot Development









9

Types of Incentive Programs

Streamlined Delivery with Higher Savings Confidence

Custom Programs

- More appropriate for measures whose savings depend on application
- Incentive amount typically based on the amount of energy saved
- Often require more involved verification
- Allow a wider range of measures, subject to more flexible guidelines

Prescriptive Programs

- Specific guidelines for product type and installation criteria
- Often associated with precalculated or deemed energy savings
- Can buy down the cost behind-the-scenes, at the register, or require customers to apply for a rebate post-purchase



Central Pilot Question

Can shifting the program type reduce overall transaction costs and other market barriers for a commercial/industrial measure and lead to an increase in market share?



Overcoming Market Barriers

Custom Downstream Incentive - Contractor Perspective





Overcoming Market Barriers

Prescriptive Downstream Incentive - Utility Perspective





Overcoming Market Barriers

Prescriptive Midstream Incentive - System Perspective

Must obtain installation info Must mitigate savings uncertainty Small savings per measure

RISK & EFFORT

Smaller administrative burden Shift risk away from customers Minimize education need Leverage educational potential Higher margin sale for distributor Engage small business audience

BENEFIT



Measure Selection

- Simple
- Scalable
- Ubiquitous
- Low market share
- Proven energy savings
- High potential for market shift
- High levels of manufacturer engagement
- Potential to enhance distributor relationships









Unique Program Design

- Incentives to distributors only on products sold above a historical sales baseline
- Incentives paid directly to distributors, no strings attached
- Data collection stipend to mitigate effort needed in reporting additional product data
- Training stipend for educating customers on product benefits and installation



Above-Baseline Design: Benefits

- Market transformation focus
- Limit free-ridership
- Clear goal for distributors
- Encourage creativity in salesmanship
- Can be achieved by shifting cogged-smooth ratio or increasing absolute sales volume



Above-Baseline Design: Disadvantages

- Confusing & hard to communicate
- Hard for distributors to track progress
- Potentially discouraging if a distributor does not exceed baseline in consecutive months
 - Magnified by burden of data collection, which is required on ALL cogged v-belts, regardless of baseline



Seasonality in V-Belt Sales





Incentive Design









Pilot Structure





Pilot Structure

- Multiple distributors
 - Branch locations as test & control
- Different data collection methods
- Different uses of incentive packages
- Third-party evaluator assistance
- Different baseline calculation methodologies



Baseline Methodology





Baseline Methodology





Pilot Challenges

- Consensus on required data collection
- Confidentiality and data security
- Distributor participation
- Communicating a new program model
- Inconclusive feedback due to new model
- Budget estimation
- Collaborative coordination & time management



Early Collaborative Goals

- Achieve early consensus on pilot goals
- Establish clear budget goals
- Actively seek wide industry involvement
- Actively seek distributor feedback
- Set reasonable but firm deadlines
- Build trust within industry
- Treat collaborative as permanent or ongoing



Lessons So Far

- Distributor buy-in is critical
- Minimize data collection burden
- Seek wide industry involvement
- Be flexible and address distributors individually
- Find the right contact person
- Simple education is powerful



Distributor Anecdotes

"Simple education is working. I don't let my guys do the hard sale. Most of the time, all it takes is a simple reminder of the facts."

"I just don't see how we can expect sales to increase without passing down some of the rebate."

"I really like the idea of pushing most of the incentives out to the sales team. It won't work with all of them but once some get into the routine of it, the others will join in."



Sources

- Almedia and Greenberg, 1995, "Technology Assessment: energy-efficient belt transmissions", *Energy and Buildings*, 22: Pg 245-253.
- IAC Database. Top ten recommendations since 2005. Accessed at http://iac.rutgers.edu/database.
- Sever, F. A.Q.Mohammed, S.Ritchey, and J.Seryak. 2015, Deemed Power Savings of Cogged v-belts versus Smooth v-Belts, White Paper - Go Sustainable Energy.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2014. How to Use Midstream Incentives to Promote ENERGY STAR[®] Certified Consumer Electronics. Accessed at www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/downloads/CE_Guide.pdf.





Presentation contacts:

Mark Milby, mmilby@mwalliance.org John Seryak, jseryak@gosustainableenergy.com

