

Applying a Framework for Addressing Cost-Effectiveness and Distributional Equity in Distributed Energy Resource Investment Decisions

Illinois Work Group Sixth Meeting

March 13, 2025

Alice Napoleon, Tim Woolf, and Ida Weiss, Synapse Energy Economics Greg Ehrendreich and Natalie Newman, Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance Julie Michals, E4TheFuture



Housekeeping

- Please add your affiliations and pronouns to your Zoom name.
- Please mute yourself when you are not speaking.
- If you have a comment or question, please raise your hand or use the chat.
- We will be recording the session to share with DEA Work Group members that could not attend this call.





Agenda

- 1. Today's objectives
- 2. Stage 6: Review previously presented Ameren BE DEA results and present new results (for rate and bill impacts metrics)
- 3. Stage 7: Making resource decisions using BCA and DEA results
 - DEA and BCA results for ChargeSmart and ChargeReady
 - Recommendations
- 4. Project schedule and next steps



Final report and final meeting - June

- Describe Ameren BE and ComEd EE case studies
- Present priority populations, metrics, analysis for DEA
- Present results of DEA in relation to BCA results
- Provide recommendations to inform future DEA case studies



Stage 6: Present DEA Results

Review of DEA results for key metrics from Meeting #5, plus new results for Rate and Bill Impact metrics

Ameren BE Plan



Recap: Ameren's Beneficial Electrification (BE) Portfolio

Program type	Program	All other customers - Offering	EIEC/LI - Offering
Bill and	ChargeSmart	Special energy rates for charging during peak/off-peak	Same as all other customers
credits	Residential Managed Charging	Bill credits to test managed EV charging	Same as all other customers
Charging	ChargeReady	Charger infrastructure incentives	Coverage of charger costs and more support for charging infrastructure
infrastructure	Driver Education	EV & charger incentives for schools	EV & charger incentives for high schools in EIEC/LI communities
	Community Engagement & Consultation	Highly specific assistance to individual communities	Special financial assistance
Technical assistance &	Trade Ally & Customer Service	Connects customers to EV experts	Same as all other customers
education	Fleet Assessment	Technical assistance for commercial customers	Same as all other customers
	EV-as-a-service pilot	Education program reducing barriers to owning an EV	Same as all other customers
Other	Home Ready	Home energy assessments	Fully covered assessments for income qualified customers
	EV School Bus Virtual Power Plant pilot	Electrifying school buses & virtual power plant demo	Same as all other customers



Recap: Ameren BE DEA case study

This DEA focuses on Ameren's ChargeReady and ChargeSmart programs (Ameren's BE Plan 2).

Proposal	Utility	DER	Programs	Priority Population	Perspective
Case Study #2	Ameren	BE Plan	ChargeSmart & ChargeReady	Equity Investment Eligible Communities/ Low-income (EIEC/LI)	Prospective



Recap: Ameren BE DEA – Programs

1. ChargeSmart

Purpose: to provide time-of-use rate discounts and charges to encourage customers to charge EVs during low-cost hours.

Residential offering: Participants receive <u>electric bill</u> <u>credits</u> for charging their EVs during a preferred charging period and <u>electric bill charges</u> for charging their vehicle during non-preferred hours⁽¹⁾

Residential program: same offerings for EIEC/LI and all other customers

2. ChargeReady

Purpose: to increase access to charging infrastructure.

Residential offering: Complete coverage of costs to install and purchase level 2 residential chargers

Residential program: available only to EIEC/LI customers (other customers not eligible)



Recap: Ameren BE DEA case study cont.

At the last meeting (#5), we presented DEA results for various metrics below. We will review these today, focusing on new results *bill impact* metric:

Metric	Data Sources	
Participation		
Utility program investment		
Emissions*	Metrics calculated using utility data from the benefit-cost analysis (BCA)	
Changes in rates (new analysis being presented today)		
Bill Impacts (new analysis being presented today)		
Workforce impacts*	Other reported utility data or calculated using	
Existing public EV charger access*	external public data	

*Metrics not carried through to the Step 7 – Making Resource Decisions Using BCA and TRC results due to data limitations.



Customer sectors served by Ameren's BE Plan

Ameren divides its portfolio offerings among different sectors:



Residential and multifamily



Fleets (businesses and municipalities)





Educational



Public charging – available to all customers

Customer Sector Focus in the BE DEA

Metric	ChargeSmart	ChargeReady
Participation	All Sectors	All Sectors
Utility program investment	All Sectors	All Sectors
Emissions	All Sectors	All Sectors
Rate Impacts	Residential	Residential
Bill Impacts	Residential	Residential



Data sources and methods for DEA metrics

- Data sources
 - BE Plan #1 and BE Plan #2 program descriptions, benefit cost analysis, rate impact analysis data
 - BE Plan Annual Report (July 2024) ChargeSmart data and program descriptions
 - Ameren's BCA analysis underlying data for metrics
 - Testimony from relevant dockets benefit cost analysis methods
 - Communication with Ameren staff (calls and emails)
- Calculation of metrics: sorting, filtering, and summarizing data in Ameren's BCA analysis workbook
 - Bill impacts: we used Ameren's assumptions and external, publicly available data about electricity rates, EV energy usage, and avoided gas costs



Ameren Illinois Company -BE Plan Annual Report (July 2024)



ChargeSmart DEA



Legend for Equity Implications

Benefits weighted more towards all other customers than EIEC/LI customers. Benefits between EIEC/LI customers and all other customers are equivalent Benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI customers than all other customers. Inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion.

• **esp**

ChargeSmart Metric: Participation

Count of vehicles participating in special EV time-of-use rate programs

Projected ChargeSmart Participation (2026-2028 BE Plan)

ChargeSmart Participation	All Other Customers	EIEC/LI
Total vehicles participating (%)	74%	26%

Ameren customer base (2023) ⁽¹⁾	All Other Customers	EIEC/LI
Customers (%)	68%	32%

Equity Implication

Benefits weighted more towards all other customers than EIEC/LI customers.

(1) "Ameren Illinois' Refiled Multi-Year Integrated Grid Plan." Ameren Illinois Corporation (Mar 2024). Available at <u>https://icc.illinois.gov/docket/P2023-0082/documents/348085/files/607904.pdf</u>

Participation data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis



ChargeSmart Metric: Utility Investment

ChargeSmart represents roughly 45% of the total BE Plan budget.*

• 26% of the ChargeSmart budget is directed towards EIEC/LI customers



Ameren BE Portfolio Budget (2026-2028 BE Plan)

Equity Implication

Benefits weighted more towards all other customers than EIEC/LI customers.

* includes all incentive spending (including bill & delivery credits) and excludes any non-incentive spending (administrative, IT, and overhead costs).

Utility investment data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis

nesp

Equity Implication

Inconclusive

benefits/not enough

data to make a

conclusion.

ChargeSmart Metric: Emissions

Ameren's BE plan portfolio leads to **emissions savings*** from replacing gasoline and diesel vehicles with battery electric vehicles (BEV) and plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEV).

Net Emissions Changes from ChargeSmart 2026-2028 (tons)

	NO _x (short tons)		PM _{2.5}		CO ₂	
ChargeSmart	EIEC/LI	All other	EIEC/LI	All other	EIEC/LI	All other
	-3.0	1.5	-0.08	0.08	-8,900	-26,750

However, emissions reductions from switching to EVs, lack a **spatial** component. We know *which* customer type is participating (EIEC/LI or other) but not *where* the emissions reductions are occurring.

For this reason, we chose to not include emissions as a metric to compare against BCA results.

* Includes avoided emissions from replacing combustion vehicles, increased emissions from more energy generation, and avoided emissions from load shifting during peak periods.

Emissions data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis

ChargeSmart Metric: Changes in Electricity Rates

All	The rate impact analysis from Ameren's BCA indicates that, on average, all customers will see <u>lower rates</u> from ChargeSmart *	
customers	 This is due to a net reduction in electricity costs and an increase in electricity sales. 	Equity Implication The rate changes for
		EIEC/LI customers
Participants	Charging more efficiently, due to time-of-use rates, will have downward pressure on rates.	and all other customers are
	Participants will see a <u>reduction in rates</u> due to the program.	equivalent
Non- Participants	Non-participants will experience lower rates because the rates for all customers are reduced.	

nesp

ChargeSmart Metric: Bill Impacts Overview

How customers see bill impacts from program participation.

Participant enrolls with a new EV....

- + Additional electricity consumption costs $\overline{\textcircled{B}}$
- ChargeSmart credits & charges =____
- Avoided gasoline costs 📄
- = Net Bill Impacts \$

Participant enrolls with an existing EV....

- ChargeSmart credits & charges =____
- = Net Bill Impacts \$

nes



ChargeSmart Metric: Participant Bill Impacts

ChargeSmart participants will enroll in the program with or without an existing EV.

Participants that **replace** their **existing gasoline vehicle** with an **EV** will see the <u>highes</u>t **net bill** savings.

Participants that replace their **existing gasoline vehicle** with a **plug-in hybrid electric vehicle** (PHEV) will see <u>some **net bill savings**</u>.

Participants that enroll with an **existing EV** will only see <u>minor</u> **net bill** savings due to the special EV rates and bill credits.

Equity Implication Benefits between EIEC/LI customers and all other customers are equivalent

*Bill impacts data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis



First Year Monthly Bill Savings for ChargeSmart Participants*

Note: On average, <u>non-participants</u> will experience a reduction in rates from this program based on the results of the Ameren BCA.

Questions?

ChargeSmart Metric: Bill Impacts for all customer groups

All customers	Lower rates and <u>lower bills</u> due to a net reduction in electricity costs and an increase in electricity sales.*	Equity Implication
Participants	Additional bill savings due to time-of-use rates and 1 st year bill credit.	The bill impacts for EIEC/LI customers and all other
Non- Participants	Slightly lower bills, as explained above.	customers are equivalent



ChargeSmart: Summary of DEA Results

Green – benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI customers than all other customers **Yellow** – benefits between EIEC/LI customers and all other customers are equivalent **Red** – benefits weighted more towards all other customers than EIEC/LI customers **Gray** – inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion

Metric	Metric Unit		EIEC/LI Customers	All Other Customers
Participation	^t con	% of participating vehicles	26%	74%
Utility investment	Â	% of program budget (\$)	26%	74%
Rate impacts (program-level)	\$	Relative change in rates	Rates reduced	Rates reduced
Bill impacts	•••	1 st year monthly bill savings	\$6-\$92/month	\$6-\$92/month

Questions?



ChargeReady DEA



ChargeReady Metric: Participation

Participation is measured as: (1) number of chargers installed and (2) number of vehicles supported by chargers.

85% of all new chargers installed are planned for EIEC/LI customers.



Equity Implication Benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI customers than all other customers.

26% of all vehicles supported by new chargers are planned for EIEC/LI customers.



Participation data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis

Equity Implication Inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion.



ChargeReady Metric: Utility Investment

Ameren's 3-year BE plan's electric incentive budget* is \$79 million. **84%** of the ChargeReady budget is directed towards EIEC/LI customers.



Ameren BE Portfolio Budget (2026-2028 BE Plan)

* includes all incentive spending (including bill & delivery credits) and excludes any non-incentive spending (administrative, IT, and overhead costs).

Investment data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis



ChargeReady Metric: Emissions

Ameren's BE plan portfolio leads to **emissions savings*** from replacing gasoline and diesel vehicles with battery and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

Net Emissions Changes from ChargeReady 2026-2028 (short tons)

	NO _x (short tons)		PM _{2.5} (short tons)		CO ₂ (short tons)	
ChargeReady	EIEC/LI	All other	EIEC/LI	All other	EIEC/LI	All other
	-48.1	-9.3	-0.23	0.19	-31,260	-34,750

However, emissions reductions from switching to EVs, lack a **spatial** component. We know *which* customer type is participating (EIEC/LI or other) but not *where* the emissions reductions are occurring.

For this reason, we chose to not include emissions as a metric to compare against BCA results.

Equity Implication

Inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion.

* Includes avoided emissions from replacing combustion vehicles, increased emissions from more energy generation, and avoided emissions from load shifting during peak periods.



ChargeReady Metric: Changes in Rates

All customers	 <u>Higher rates</u> from Charge Ready Due to a net increase in electricity costs despite an increase in electricity sales.* 	Equity Implication
Participants	 Required participation in ChargeSmart (time-of-use rates). Charging efficiently (during off-peak hours) will have downward pressure on rates for participants. It is unclear if the rate reductions will exceed the rate increases for participants. 	The rate changes for EIEC/LI customers and all other customers are equivalent
Non- Participants	Higher rates because the rates for all customers are increased, as described above.	

ChargeReady Metric: Bill Impacts Overview

How customers see bill impacts from program participation.

Participant enrolls with a new EV....

- + Additional electricity consumption costs $\overline{\textcircled{B}}$
- ChargeSmart credits & charges =____
- Avoided gasoline costs 📄
- <u>– EV charger & installation costs</u>
- = Net Bill Impacts \$

Participant enrolls with an existing EV....

- ChargeSmart credits & charges =____
- = Net Bill Impacts \$

mesp



ChargeReady Metric: Participant Bill Impacts

ChargeReady's residential program covers EV charger purchase and installation costs 100% for **EIEC/LI customers**.

ChargeReady participants must **also participate in ChargeSmart**, so **increased energy costs**, delivery and bill credits, and **avoided gasoline bills** are the same as in ChargeSmart.

In sum, the EIEC/LI customers see an additional **savings of \$15** because they do not need to pay for the EV charger and installation costs.

Equity Implication Benefits experienced by EIEC/LI customers, but not by other customers.

*Bill impacts data source: Derived from Ameren's BCA analysis



First Year Monthly Bill Savings for ChargeReady Participants*

Questions?

ChargeReady Metric: Bill Impacts for all customer groups

All customers	Higher rates and slightly <u>higher bills</u> due to a net increase in electricity costs and an increase in electricity sales*	
Participants	 Only EIEC/LI eligible to participate. Required participation in ChargeSmart (time-of-use rates). Lower bills due to ChargeSmart participation and ChargeReady program offerings (reimbursement for charger costs & installation). EIEC/LI customers see greater bill savings. 	Equity Implication Benefits experienced by EIEC/LI customers, but not by other customers.
Non- Participants	Slightly higher bills, as explained above.	



ChargeReady: Summary of DEA Results

Green – net benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI customers than all other customers Yellow – net benefits between EIEC/LI and all other customers are equivalent Red – net benefits weighted more towards all other customers than EIEC/LI customers Gray – inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion

Metric		Metric Unit	EIEC/LI Customers	All Other Customers
	R.	% of chargers installed	84%	16%
Participation	^t æ	% of vehicles supported by these chargers	26%	74%
Utility investment		% of program budget (\$)	84%	16%
Rate impacts	\$	Relative change in rates	Rates increased	Rates increased
Bill impacts		1 st year bill monthly savings	\$6-\$108/month	-

Questions?



Stage 7: Making Resource Decisions using BCA and DEA Results

Ameren BE Plan



Key Considerations for DEA and BCA Results

- DEA case studies <u>offer insights</u> into distribution of BE program impacts to EIEC/Low income customers relative to other customers
- We don't have the full picture, as <u>data gaps exist</u> due to various factors: BE plan programs are new, and there are limitations on granularity of data (e.g., for geospatial mapping of metrics to Census data tracts)
- Results of BCA and DEA together can help inform decisions about program funding and program designs, where decision-making may involve more <u>'art' than</u> <u>'science'</u> in some cases.



Key Impacts in Benefit-Cost Analysis

Impacts included in the Total Resource Cost (TRC)

Impact Type	Impact Category	TRC Test
Utility System	Electric Energy	cost or benefit
	Electric Capacity	cost or benefit
	Program Incentives	cost
	EV Infrastructure	cost
	Program Administration	cost
	EV Infrastructure	cost
Host Customer	Gasoline	benefit
	State and Federal Tax Incentives	benefit
Emissions	CO ₂ , PM _{2.5} , NO _x , SO _x	cost or benefit

BCA answers the question:

Does the DER investment bring more benefits than costs to the utility and ratepayers, as a whole?

Questions?



Ameren BE Plan – TRC test results

If **Benefits (\$)** / **Costs (\$)** ≥ **1**, the plan is cost-effective.

• All the ChargeSmart subprograms are cost-effective





BCA and DEA Results



ChargeSmart: BCA and DEA Results

BCA Results



Conclusion:

ChargeSmart is cost-effective.

DEA Results

Metric		EIEC/LI	All Other
Ĭ 🚗	Participation (vehicles)	26%	74%
	Utility investment	26%	74%
\$	Rate impacts (program- level)	rates reduced	rates reduced
	1 st Year Monthly Bill savings	\$6-\$92	\$6-\$92

Green – net benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI than other customers Yellow – net benefits between EIEC/LI and other customers are equivalent Red – net benefits weighted more towards other customers than EIEC/LI Gray – inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion

Questions?



ChargeReady: BCA and DEA Results

BCA Results



Conclusion:

ChargeReady is cost-effective.

DEA Results

Metric		EIEC/LI	All Other
R.	Participation (chargers)	84%	16%
Ĭ ~~~	Participation (vehicles)	26%	74%
	Utility investment	84%	16%
\$	Rate impacts (program- level)	rates increased	rates increased
•••	1 st Year Monthly Bill savings	\$6-\$108	-

Green – net benefits weighted more towards EIEC/LI than other customers Yellow – net benefits between EIEC/LI and other customers are equivalent Red – net benefits weighted more towards other customers than EIEC/LI Gray – inconclusive benefits/not enough data to make a conclusion

Questions?



Stage 7. Decision-Making

BCA Results

 $\overline{}$

BCR ≥

<1.0

BCR

Inconclusive: program may be reconsidered to be more equitable. Program has the potential to distribute benefits equitably

Program likely requires significant modifications to be cost-effective and equitable.

Inconclusive: program may be reconsidered to be more cost-effective.

Needs improvement

Meets expectations

DEA Results

On the next slides, we will present our findings for where the ChargeSmart and ChargeReady programs fall in this figure.



ChargeSmart

BCA Results

 $\overline{}$

BCR ≥

<1.0

BCR

Inconclusive: program may be reconsidered to be more equitable. Program has the potential to distribute benefits equitably

Program likely requires significant modifications to be cost-effective and equitable.

Inconclusive: program may be reconsidered to be more cost-effective.

Needs improvement

Meets expectations

DEA Results

Recommendations:

- 1. Increase EIEC/LI participation
 - Actual participation (2023): **15.5%**
 - Assumed participation (2026-2028): **25%**
 - 32% of Ameren's customers are EIEC/LI
- 2. Seek opportunities to improve program offerings to EIEC/LI



ChargeReady



Recommendations:

- 1. Implement the program as planned
- 2. Monitor the program and collect data on EV usage for EIEC/LI
- 3. Seek opportunities to improve program offerings to EIEC/LI



Recommendations for Improving DEA



Recommendations





Project Schedule and Next Steps



Estimated Project Schedule and Work Group Meetings

Work Group Meeting	Approximate Date
#1 - Introduction to process, relevant policies	March 6, 2024
#2 – Proposed Case Studies & DEA Context	May 10, 2024
#3 – Priority Populations and DEA Metrics	July 24, 2024
#4 – DEA Metrics	Oct 9, 2024
#5 –Ameren BE DEA – Results I	Dec 13, 2024
#6 – Ameren BE DEA – Results II	March 13, 2025
#7 – ComEd EE DEA – Results	Late April 2025
#8 – Presentation of draft report	June 2025



Next Steps

- Our team will present ComEd data metric results at the next Work Group meeting (late April 2025).
- Please reach out to team with any questions/comments following this meeting (see next slide)
 - Project Coordination: Julie Michals at <u>jmichals@e4thefuture.org</u>
 - Lead Work Group contact: Greg Ehrendreich at <u>gehrendreich@mwalliance.org</u>



Thank you! Contact Information



Julie Michals jmichals@e4thefuture.org



Alice Napoleon anapoleon@synapseenergy.com

Tim Woolf twoolf@synapse-energy.com



Greg Ehrendreich

gehrendreich@mwalliance.org

Natalie Newman nnewman@mwalliance.org

Check out <u>NESP Events</u> for NSPM and BCA webinars

Stay informed with <u>NESP News</u>



Background Slides



Case Study Objectives

- 1. Show ICC and stakeholders **how to conduct a DEA** using existing definitions for priority populations and metrics based on statute and utility plans.
- 2. Identify gaps and limitations and options to address gaps going forward.
- 3. Develop stakeholder understanding on how to use map-based resources and spatial tools to visualize DEA metrics for priority populations.
- Using analysis results, demonstrate the use of DEA, alongside BCA, to guide decision-making on DER resource investments that accounts for impacts on priority populations.



Reminder: Work Group Role and Meeting Guidelines

• Work Group Role

- Participate in Work Group meetings input is critical to project and is encouraged (via chat box, verbal input, follow-up emails, and/or subgroup phone calls)
- Provide comments on DEA key methodologies, inputs, and assumptions
- Review and comment on DEA and BCA results and draft/final case studies report
- Agendas and meeting materials will be sent in advance of meetings
- Meeting notes will be shared with Work Group
- Chatham House rule will apply
 - i.e., input shared in our meetings will not be assigned to or associated with any Work Group member or representative outside of the Work Group meetings

All Materials Posted to DEA Case Study Project Website:



Project Team

Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance

Liaison and facilitation





Gregory Ehrendreich Manager

Natalie Newman Sr. Policy Associate

E4TheFuture Project management



Julie Michals Director

Synapse Energy Economics Research and analysis



Alice Napoleon Principal Associate

Tim Woolf Senior VP









Recommendations: Research and data analysis

Action Type	Relevant Program(s)	Recommendation
Conduct research study	Overarching	Research current EV ownership rates
Conduct research study	Overarching	Study IL-specific EV adoption barriers for EIEC/LI customers
Data analysis	ChargeReady	Map EV Charger distribution
Data analysis	ChargeReady	Study charger access vs. charger use for publicly installed chargers



JZ

Recommendations: Evaluation and data reporting

Action Type	Relevant Program(s)	Recommendation
Evaluation/Data reporting	ChargeReady & ChargeSmart	Evaluate program performance in comparison to BE Plan objectives
Data reporting	ChargeReady & ChargeSmart	Report actual participation rates for EIEC/LI customers, other customers for each BE program and subprogram for 2023 and 2024.
Data reporting	ChargeSmart	Track and report the number of PHEV vs. BEV vehicles participating
Data reporting	ChargeSmart	Track and report the number of new EVs vs. existing EVs participating
Data reporting	ChargeReady	Track and report charger installations in urban vs. rural communities
Data reporting	Portfolio	Continue to report on workforce development initiatives
Evaluation/Data reporting	Portfolio	Track and report the participation in and impact of community outreach and customer education programs



Recommendations: Program design

Action Type	Relevant Program(s)	Recommendation
Recommendations	ChargeReady	Work to improve charger access for rural areas
Recommendations	ChargeSmart	Consider how to design program so that EIEC/LI customer participation more closely aligns with the share of EIEC/LI customers in Ameren territory
Recommendations	ChargeSmart	Use the results of research studies to ensure ChargeSmart targets full EIEC/LI EV participation potential
Recommendations	ChargeSmart	Ensure EIEC/LI customer education and outreach on time-of-use rates and available programs